This matter came on for hearing on December 6, 2022 in Department 21 of the above-captioned Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Entering Judgment ("Motion") pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769, and the Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval filed June 23, 2022, and the Amended Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release of Claims ("Settlement Agreement") filed June 2, 2022 in conjunction with Plaintiffs' Preliminary Approval Motion.

Having received and considered the Settlement Agreement, the supporting papers filed by the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and the supporting papers, and evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the Motion for Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

- 1. Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order filed June 23, 2022, a Notice of Class Action Settlement, Change of Address form, and pre-printed return envelope ("Notice Packet") were sent to each Class Member by first-class United States mail. The Notice informed the Class of the terms of the Settlement, their right to receive a Settlement Payment without any required action, their right to comment upon or object to the Settlement, and their right to appear in person or by counsel at the Final Approval Hearing and to be heard regarding approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time were provided for each of these procedures.
- 2. No member of the Class filed a request to be excluded from the Settlement or a written objection to the proposed Settlement as part of this notice process or stated an intention to appear at the final approval hearing.
- 3. The Court finds and determines this notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement based on the responses of the Class. The Court finds and determines that the notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable, which satisfies the requirements of law and due process.

- 4. With respect to the Class and for purposes of approving this Settlement only, this Court finds and concludes that: (a) the members of the Class are ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the Class, and there is a well-defined community of interest among members of the Class with respect to the subject matter of the Action; (c) the claims of the Class Representatives are typical of the claims of the members of the Class; (d) the Class Representatives have fairly and adequately protected the interests of the members of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) the counsel of record for Plaintiffs, the Class Representatives, i.e., Class Counsel, are qualified to serve as counsel for Plaintiffs in their individual and representative capacity for the Class.
- 5. The Court confirms certification for settlement purposes only of the Class defined as "all current and former employees that worked for Broadly, Inc., as members of the sales team in California at any time during the period from June 7, 2015 and through May 1, 2020, and excluding any persons who opt-out."
- 6. The Court finds and determines the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate and, having found that the Settlement was reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arms'-length negotiations facilitated by a neutral and experienced mediator, directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Court further finds the Parties conducted extensive investigation, research, and discovery, and that their attorneys were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that Settlement will enable the Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery and recognizes the significant value provided to the Class.
- 7. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate to the Class and to each Class Member and that the Settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated.

- 8. The Court confirms the appointment of CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.
- 9. The Court confirms the appointment of Cohelan Khoury & Singer and Lebe Law, APLC as Class Counsel.
- The Court confirms the appointment of Plaintiffs Joe Alfaro and Plaintiff Yoni I.
  Marin Romero as Class Representatives.
- 11. The Court finds and determines that the Settlement Payments to be paid to Participating Settlement Class Members as provided for by the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby grants final approval to and orders the payment of those amounts be made to the Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Court finds and determines that the fees and expenses in administrating the Settlement incurred by CPT Group, Inc., in the amount of \$6,500.00 are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby grants final approval to and orders that the payment of that amount in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. The Court finds and determines the Class Representative Service Payment of \$7,500.00 to Plaintiff Joe Alfaro is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Administrator to make this payment to Plaintiff Joe Alfaro in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. The Court finds and determines the Class Representative Service Payment of \$7,500.00 to Plaintiff Yoni I. Marin Romero is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Administrator to make this payment to Plaintiff Yoni I. Marin Romero in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 15. The Court approves the PAGA Payment of PAGA of \$25,000.00 for civil penalties of which \$18,750.00 (75%) will be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and \$6,250.00 (25%) will be distributed among all PAGA Members.
- 16. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and the authorities, evidence and argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys'

fees of \$262,000.00 and litigation costs of \$15,087.66. The Court finds such amounts to be fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Administrator to make these payments in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The Court directs that \$26,200.00, ten (10) percent of the fee award, be kept in the Administrator's trust account until the completion of the distribution process and Court approval of a final accounting.

- 17. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the entry of this Order, or by January 15, 2023, whichever occurs later, Defendant shall deliver, or caused to be delivered the Gross Settlement Amount and the Employer Tax Obligations to the Settlement Administrator.
- 18. Without affecting the finality of this Order or the entry of judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and enforcement of this Order and the Settlement Agreement.
- 19. Neither Defendant nor any related persons or entities shall have any further liability for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability, except as provided for by the Settlement Agreement.
- 20. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations under the Settlement Agreement or under this Order, including the requirement that Defendant make payments to the Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement.
- 21. The Court hereby enters final judgment in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, and this Order.
- 22. The Court orders the Settlement Administrator to post this Order and the Judgment on its website: <a href="www.cptgroup.com/cases/alfaro-v-broadly-inc">www.cptgroup.com/cases/alfaro-v-broadly-inc</a>. The Order and Judgment are to remain on the website until after the Final Accounting Hearing.
- 23. The Parties will bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise provided by this Court's Order awarding Class Counsels' attorneys' fees and litigation costs.
- 24. A Final Accounting Hearing shall be set for August 16, 2023 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 21. With respect to distribution of the Gross Settlement Amount to those individuals and entities provided for in this Order, the Administrator's declaration shall be filed with the Court five (5) Court days before the Final Accounting Hearing.

1	25. The Court expressly reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Approval
2	Hearing from time to time without further notice to Settlement Class Members.
3	<u>JUDGMENT</u>
4	26. This document shall constitute a judgment for purposes of California Rules of
5	Court, Rule 3.769(h). In accordance with, and for the reasons stated in this Order, judgment
6	shall be entered within the meaning and for purposes of Code of Civil Procedure §§ 577 and
7	904.1(a), and the Plaintiffs / Class Representatives and all Settlement Class Members shall take
8	nothing from Defendant Broadly, Inc. except as expressly set forth in the Settlement
9	Agreement.
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	12 in
12	Dated: 12/23/2022 Honorable Evelio Grillo
13	Judge of the Superior Court of California
14	Evelio Grillo / Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$	
۷۵	